

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/944,835	JOVANCICEVIC ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Rejected

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(3) _____.

(2) David L. Mossman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 June 2006

Time: ~ 11:00 Am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
those of record referred generally.

Claims discussed:
 1, 7, 11-13, 16, 17, 20, & 23-24.


Prior art documents discussed:
Those relied on referred to generally.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner proposed amendment to pace claims in allowable form. Applicants authorized said amendments.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 DANIEL S. METZMAIER
 PRIMARY EXAMINER
 ART UNIT 1712

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)